

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/659,637	09/09/2003	Keng L. Wong	42P14297C	5221	
7590 09/08/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Michael A. Be		LUU, A	LUU, AN T		
BLAKELY, SC Seventh Floor	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, CA 90025			2816	2816	
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/659,637	WONG ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	An T. Luu	2816				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recon.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>30 June 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for al	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice un	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-78</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>60-78</u> is/are rejected.						
-						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu  2. Certified copies of the priority docu  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received in A priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1)	4) L Interview S  8) Paper No/s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-30-04</u> . 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Amendment and Terminal Disclaimer filed on 6-30-04 have been received and entered in the case. Terminal Disclaimer is not effective since Applicant has canceled all original claims (1-59) rejected by Double Patenting. Currently, claims 60-78 are newly added and pending.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 60-78 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 60-65 and 67-71 of copending Application No. 10/136,321 (hereinafter "321"). Although the conflicting claims are

Art Unit: 2816

not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because their scopes are essentially the same.

The scopes of claims 60-71 of the instant application are the same as that of claims 60-65 and 67-71 of the "321". It is noted that limitations "a power input" and "core logic" of the instant application read on limitations "voltage regulator module" and "logic components", respectively, of the "321". And the method recited on claims 72-78 read on an apparatus recited on claims 60-61 and 68-69 of the instant application. Therefore, the entire claims (60-78) of the instant application are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over copending Application No. 10/136,321.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

### Response to Amendment

3. Terminal Disclaimer filed on 6-30-04 for overcoming Double Patenting of claims 1-59 is no longer needed since Applicant has canceled claims 1-59 and replaced them with claims 60-78.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 2816

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to An T. Luu whose telephone number is 571-272-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

An T. Luu 8-24-04 AU

//TIMOTHYP: CALLAHAN
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800